

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/817,621 04/03/2004		04/03/2004	Larry V. Weathers	1130	2485	
23545	7590	05/03/2006		EXAMINER		
KATHLEE			OKEZIE, ESTHER O			
THE HARL 909 TALL P			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MT PLEAS			3652			
				DATE MAILED: 05/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			21	WEATHERS ET AL.					
				Art Unit					
		Esther O.	Okezie	3652					
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communicate ply	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Sind	oonsive to communication(s) filed of action is FINAL. 2b)[e this application is in condition for ed in accordance with the practice of	This action is national allowance except	— on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims									
4a) C 5)⊠ Clair 6)⊠ Clair 7)□ Clair 8)□ Clair Application P	specification is objected to by the E	1-22 is/are withdra 1. n and/or election r xaminer.	equirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some colonomer is made of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
2) Notice of D 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC)/Mail Date <u>01/23/06</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	O-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on 2/02/2006 and the remarks presented therewith have carefully considered. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 13-15, and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayward in view of Roi.
- 2. Re claim 13, Hayward discloses a vegetable masher capable pushing or packing leaves or other debris into a trash container, comprising: (a) substantially planar head portion (1); and (b) a brace (4) connected on one side to a substantially planar underside of the head portion; and (c) a mount portion (2) permanently connected to an opposite side of the brace, the mount portion being generally cylindrical in shape and comprising an end for receiving an end of a handle (B).

Hayward does not disclose the mount portion comprising an open end, the end of the mount is closed by the shank portion of the handle. Roi discloses a vegetable Application/Control Number: 10/817,621

Art Unit: 3652

masher including an open-ended mount portion for receiving handle (M). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the mount of Hayward to include an open end for removing or replacing the handle during cleaning or storage of the device.

Page 3

- 3. Re claim 14, Hayward discloses four triangular-shaped brace arms (4) each extending out from a central longitudinal axis of the brace (see fig 3).
- 4. Re claim 15, Hayward discloses a brace portion (4) attached to the head portion (1) wherein the longitudinal axis of the brace is aligned with the longitudinal axis of the mount portion (2). Hayward does not disclose the brace is conical in shape and includes a frustum attached to the first open-ended mount portion. Roi discloses a conically shaped vegetable masher with frustum shaped base (G). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to as a matter of design choice.

 Applicant has not disclosed that adding a frustum shaped brace provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with triangular shaped braces disclosed by Hayward.
- Re claim 19, Hayward discloses a vegetable masher capable pushing or packing leaves or other debris into a trash container, comprising: (a) substantially planar head portion (1); and (b) a brace (4) connected on one side to a substantially planar underside of the head portion; and (c) a mount portion (2) connected to an opposite side of the brace, the mount portion being generally cylindrical in shape and comprising an end for receiving an end of a handle (C); wherein the head is formed as a grate (fig 1).

Hayward does not disclose the mount portion comprising an open end, the end of the mount is closed by the shank portion of the handle. Roi discloses a vegetable masher including an open-ended mount portion for receiving handle (M). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the mount of Hayward to include an open end for removing or replacing the handle during cleaning or storage of the device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 16-18, 23, and 24 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

This application contains claims 4,7-12, and 20-22 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 8/04/2005. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3652

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Esther O. Okezie whose telephone number is (571) 272-8108. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 8-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/817,621 Page 6

Art Unit: 3652

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

EOO 4/16/06

DEAN J. KRAMER PRIMARY EXAMINER